## Obesity Prevention and Control: Provider Education with Patient Intervention

## Summary Evidence Table

Study	Intervention and Comparison	Study Population	Effect measure	Reported Baseline and Follow-Up	Reported effect	Follow-up time
Author (year): Cohen et al. (1991)	Location: Pittsburgh, PA Physician training	Family Health Center 10 intervention & 8	Mean change in body     weight (kg) (direct     measure)     Intervention	<u>0-6 mos</u> <u>0-1 yr</u> -1.8 0.9	-2.4 0.2	12 months
Study Period: 1987-1988	session by a behavioral psychologist on	Usual care residents, selection NR	Control  2) Mean change in BP	0.6 0.7		
Study Design: RCT	importance of weight reduction and information about	Group N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub> Interv 15 15 15	(mmHg) Intervention Control	0-6 mos 0-1 yr 1.2 1.8 -2.3 1.6	3.5 0.2	
Design Suitability: Greatest	effects of specific foods on body weight; taught methods to	UC 15 15 15				
Quality of Execution: Fair	encourage patients. Total training time not reported					
	Components: Intervention: Initial consult with physician on caloric content of foods and dietary changes suggested. At following visits (1/month)dietary advice repeated as well as setting short-term goals and providing feedback to encourage further weight loss					
	Comparison: Usual care					

Study	Intervention and Comparison	Study Population	Effect measure		Reported and Follow-Up		oorted ffect	Follow-up time
Author (year):	Location: Finland	10 health care	1) Change in body weight					
Kastarinen et al.		centers	(kg) (direct measure)	0-1 yr	<u>0-2 yr</u>			12, 24
(2002)	Components:		Intervention	-1.5	-1.5	-1.3	-1.2	months
	Study staff trained	Public health	Control	-0.2	-0.3			
Study Period:	public health nurses	nurses; sample size						
1996-1997	– dealt with	unknown	2) Change in DBP					
	counseling and		Intervention	-4.0	-4.3	-1.6	-1.1	
Study Design:	behavior modification	Group N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>1</sub>	Control	-2.4	-3.2			
RCT	on weight reduction;	Inter 360 317 304						
	given folder with	Cont 355 275 283	3) Change in SBP					
Design	detailed info and		Intervention	-4.7	-6.2	-1.3	-2.0	
Suitability:	practical tips.		Control	-3.4	-4.2			
Greatest	Training time							
	unknown		4) Change in HDL					
Quality of	Patient Intervention		Intervention	0.02	0.10	0.01	0.03	
Execution: Fair	<ul><li>four individual</li></ul>		Control	0.01	0.07			
	counseling sessions							
	in year 1, three		5) Change in LDL					
	individual counseling		Intervention	-0.06	-0.11	-0.05	-0.15	
	sessions in year 2,		Control	-0.01	0.04			
	and a 2-hour group							
	session each year.		6) Change in Total					
	Counseling by public		Cholesterol					
	health nurses on 5		Intervention	-0.05	-0.03	-0.02	-0.10	
	goals (BMI <25,		Control	-0.03	0.07			
	sodium <5 gm,							
	alcoholic beverages		7) Change in waist					
	<2/day, moderate		circumference					
	exercise 30 min		Intervention	-1.2	-1.2	-1.5	-1.4	
	3x/weeks, stop		Control	0.3	0.2			
	smoking).							
	Comparison: Usual							
	care							
Author (year):	Location: Helsinki,	1 clinical practice	1) BMI direct	<u>Baseline</u>	1.5 mo 12 mc	_		12 months
Lojander et al.	Finland	·	measurement	36	31 31			
(1998)		$N_0 = 24$						
,	Components:	$\frac{N_1}{N_1} = 23$	2) Weight direct					
Study Period:	Experienced nurses	$\overline{\underline{N}_1} = 22$	measurement (kg)	110	97 99			
not reported	attended a 2-day	_						
•	course on weight loss		3) Weight reduction (%					
	and behavioral		overwt)		40 33			

Study	Intervention and Comparison	Study Population	Effect measure	Reported Baseline and Follow-Up	Reported effect	Follow-up time
Study Design: Time series  Design Suitability: Moderate  Quality of Execution: Fair	management, led meetings. Week 1 – overview and group session; Weeks 2-7 VLCD and 3 group meetings; Weeks 8-9 back to low calorie food; Weeks 10-14 1 group meeting on adaptation to new life; Next 7 months had monthly group meetings					
Author (year): Moore et al. (2003)  Study Period: 2000-2002  Study Design: Group RCT  Design Suitability: Greatest  Quality of Execution: Fair	Location: Northern and Yorkshire regions of England  Components: Providers received three 90-min nutritional training sessions; A "ready reckoner" was produced to allow practitioners to estimate daily energy expenditure and then calculate a 500 kcal deficit. Diet sheet and supporting written materials provided. Total training time 4.5 hours Patients seen regularly until 10% of original body weight lost.  Comparison: Usual care	Recruited practices (4 health areas). All 161 invited; 44 randomized. All practitioners and practice nurses eligible (n = 245). Staff invited to participate consecutively attending obese adults (BMI $\geq$ 30). $ \frac{\text{Group N}_0}{\text{Inter 415}} \frac{N_1}{256} $ Contr 428 275	1)Weight (kg) (direct measure)	Baseline 3m 12m 18m  100.8 100.4 100.3 100.8 100.2 99.8 99.3 99.5  37 36.8 36.9 37.1 36.9 36.9 36.9	Absolute change 0.0 0.4 0.7  Absolute change -0.2 0.0 0.1	18 months

Study	Intervention and Comparison	Study Population	Effect measure	Reported Baseline and Follow-Up	Reported effect	Follow-up time
Author (year):	Location: Worcester,	45 primary care	1) Weight (kg) (direct	12 month		
Ockene et al.	MA	internists (46	measure)			12 months
(1999)		available, 1	Nutri counseling	-1.0	-1.0	
	Components:	declined)	Nutri counseling +	-2.3	-2.3	
Study Period:	Nutrition alone: Two	randomized into 3	Control	0		
not reported	sessions 2.5 hours in	groups.				
	small group and 30		2) HDL (mmol/L)			
Study Design:	min individual	1162 patients	Nutri counseling	0.01		
Group RCT	sessions (role play).	recruited; 550	Nutri counseling +	0.01		
·	Focus on counseling.	completed weight	Control	-0.02		
Design	Tools given to	at 1 year				
Suitability:	practitioners.	161 control	3) LDL (mmol/L)			
Greatest	Nutrition +: same as	192 nutrition alone	Nutri counseling	0.02		
Ol Cataba	above plus physicians	(nutri)	Nutri counseling +	-0.11		
Quality of	received office	197 nutrition plus	Control	-0.01		
<b>Execution:</b> Fair	support	(nutri +)	Control	0.01		
	Comparison: Usual care		4) Saturated Fat (% of energy)  Nutri counseling Nutri counseling + Control  5) Total Cholesterol (mmol/L) Nutri counseling Nutri counseling + Control  6) Total Chol: HDL Nutri counseling Nutri counseling Nutri counseling + Control  7) Total Fat (% of energy) Nutri counseling	-0.04 -1.10 0 0.05 -0.10 0.03 0.1 -0.1 0.1	0.02 -0.13	

Study	Intervention and Comparison	Study Population	Effect measure	Reported Baseline and Follow-Up	Reported effect	Follow-up time
			8) Triglyceride (TG) (mmol/L) Nutri counseling Nutri counseling + Control	0.06 -0.01 0.12		
Author (year): Richman et al. (1996)  Study Period: not reported  Study Design:	Provider intervention: 2 half day training sessions Session 1: Dietary information (emphasis on fat	24 GP attended $1^{st}$ training, 12 attended $2^{nd}$ training $\frac{\text{Group}}{\text{SC}} = \frac{N_0}{37} = \frac{N_1}{17}$ MOS 101 27	1) Weight loss (direct measure) (kg) SC MOS  2) BMI (kg/m2) SC MOS	10 wk 26 wk 4.8 5 2.6 5 1.8 1.9 0.9 1.9		26 weeks
Design Suitability: Greatest Quality of Execution: Fair	content), exercise training, behavior modification techniques (emphasis on goal setting), and medical update on obesity management (emphasis on identifying patients at risk); Session 2: individual session to observe procedures and counseling sessions  Shared care (SC): weekly meeting (20 min) with general practitioner (GP) up until week 9. Patients given 10 brochures on nutrition, exercise, and behavioral aspects of obesity management; also		3) Excess weight loss (%) SC MOS	17.7 15.2 9.5 19.4		

Study	Intervention and Comparison	Study Population	Effect measure	Reported Baseline and Follow-Up	Reported effect	Follow-up time
	Hospital based Metabolism and Obesity Service (MOS): Same as SC without the integration of trained general practitioner. Program administered weekly by primary therapist. Eating plan prescribed.					
Author (year): Willaing et al. (2004) Study Period: 2000-2001	Location: Copenhagen, Denmark  Components: General practitioner counseling (GPs	$\begin{array}{c cccc} 60 \text{ general} \\ \text{practioners} \\ \hline \\ \underline{\text{Group}} & N_0 & N_1 \\ \hline \text{GP} & 191 & 130 \\ \hline \text{Diet} & 312 & 209 \\ \end{array}$	1) Change in body weight (kg; direct measure) GP all Diet all GP completers Diet completers	12 mo -2.5 -3.2 -2.4 -4.5	-2.5	12 months
Study Design: Before-after, all using only general practioner	trained 1 day in motivational interviewing) – Patients provided with commercially available information		2) Change in BMI (kg/m2) GP all Diet all GP completers Diet completers	-0.88 -1.14 -0.88 -1.60	-0.88	
Design Suitability: Least Quality of Execution: Fair	on healthy diet; individual counseling for 30 min with 4 additional sessions of 12 min.		3) Change in waist circumference (cm) GP all Diet all GP completers Diet completers	-2.98 -2.87 -3.26 -4.17	-2.98	
	Comparison (data not being used): Dietetic counseling – individual counseling 1 hour with 4 additional sessions of 30 min.		4) Change in TG (mmol/L) GP all Diet all GP completers Diet completers	-0.19 -0.67 -0.18 -0.68		
			5) Change in LDL (mmol/L) GP all Diet all	-0.42 -0.39		

Study	Intervention and Comparison	Study Population	Effect measure	Reported Baseline and Follow-Up	Reported effect	Follow-up time
			GP completers Diet completers	-0.41 -0.35		
			6) Change in HDL (mmol/L) GP all Diet all GP completers Diet completers	0.12 0.02 0.13 0.03		
			7) Change in Total Cholesterol (mmol/L0 GP all Diet all GP completers Diet completers	-0.45 -0.56 -0.45 -0.58	-0.45	
Author (year):	Location: Perth,	7 general practices	4) DMT (1 / 2)	Change at 12 mo 18 mo		
Woolard et al. (2003)	Western Australia Nurses received 170	Number of nurses used is unknown	1) BMI (kg/m2) Low High	0.38 0.21 0.18 0.50	-0.32 -0.39 -0.52 -0.10	12 months and 18
<b>Study Period:</b> not reported	hours training on transtheoretical model	Group N <sub>0</sub> N <sub>1</sub> N <sub>2</sub> C 69 53 57 Lo 69 49 52	Control  2) Weight (kg) (direct	0.70 0.60		months
Study Design:	illouei	Hi 74 48 54	measure)			
RCT	Components:		Low	1.0 0.5	-1.0 -1.2	
	High level –		High	0.5 1.2	-1.5 -0.5	
Design	individual face-to-		Control	2.0 1.7		
Suitability:	face counseling once		4) Tabal an annu (1-1)			
Greatest	a month (up to 60 minutes) for 12		4) Total energy (kJ) Low	-1520 -801		
Quality of	months by nurse		High	-815 -1214		
Execution: Fair	counselor (total time up to 720 minutes)		Control	-720 -1200		
	,		5) Total fat (% of energy)			
	Low level – one initial		Low	-3.7 -3.8		
	face-to-face		High	-3.8 -2.0		
	counseling and		Control	-1.9 -1.8		
	contacted by phone once a month (10-15		Can't use lipid information			
	minutes) for 12		because some subjects			
	months by nurse		received lipid-lowering drugs			

Study	Intervention and Comparison	Study Population	Effect measure	Reported Baseline and Follow-Up	Reported effect	Follow-up time
	counselor (total time up to 144 minutes)					
	Comparison: Usual care, under care of general practitioner and offered heart health literature					

Absolute effect size is calculated unless otherwise noted.

## <u>Abbreviations</u>

BMI, Body Mass Index

DBP, diastolic blood pressure

GP, general practitioner

HDL, high density lipoprotein

kg, kilogram

kJ, kilojoule

LDL, low density lipoprotein

 $N_0$ , sample size at baseline

 $N_1$ , sample size at time  $1\,$ 

 $N_2$ , sample size at time 2

NRCT, non-randomized control trial

RCT, randomized control trial

RD, registered dietitian

SBP systolic blood pressure

TG, triglyceride

VLCD, very low calorie diet